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#### Abstract

This expository paper starts with a brief survey of the relation between partitions and surjections of sets, and then gives a quick introduction to the theories of incidence algebras, Segal groupoids and combinatorial species. The aim is to explain an objective construction, in terms of simplicial groupoids, of both the Faà di Bruno bialgebra and the plethystic bialgebra. This paper can be seen as an extended introduction to the author's paper [2].

Resumen: El presente artículo comienza con una breve exposición de la relación entre particiones y aplicaciones exhaustivas de conjuntos finitos. A continuación se introducen las nociones de coalgebra de incidencia, grupoide de Segal y especie combinatoria. Todo ello se usa para obtener construcciones objetivas de la biálgebra de Faà di Bruno y de la biálgebra pletística, ejemplificando la relevancia de los grupoides simpliciales en combinatoria. Esta contribución se puede ver como una introducción extensa al artículo del autor [2].


Keywords: objective combinatorics, incidence coalgebras, Segal grupoids, combinatorial species, plethysm.

MSC2O10: 05A18, 05A19, 18G30, 18B40, 16T10.

Acknowledgements: The author was supported by the project FEDER-MEC MTM2016-80439-P.

Reference: Cebrian, Alex. "Combinatorics and simplicial groupoids". In: TEMat monográficos, 3 (2021): Actas del VIII Encuentro de Jóvenes Topólogos, pp. 29-40. ISsN: 2660-6003. URL: https://temat.es/monograficos/ article/view/vol3-p29.
(@) This work is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International licence https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

## 1. Introduction

It is well appreciated in combinatorics that working with combinatorial structures themselves gives a deeper understanding than working with their numbers. This is called objective combinatorics. The theory of species, developed by Joyal [8], is a cornerstone in this context. It makes use of category theory to objectify generating functions of combinatorial structures. On the other hand, decomposition spaces (certain simplicial spaces) provide a general machine to objectify the notion of incidence algebra in algebraic combinatorics. Decomposition spaces were introduced by Gálvez-Carrillo, Kock, and Tonks [5-7] in this framework and they are the same as 2-Segal spaces, introduced by Dyckerhoff and Kapranov [3] in the context of homological algebra and representation theory.
In section 3 we introduce incidence coalgebras and explain how they can be encoded in Segal groupoids, which are a simple special case of decomposition spaces. We apply this to express two incidence coalgebras in terms of Segal groupoids: the Faà di Bruno bialgebra and the plethystic bialgebra. The first comes from substitution of power series in one variable, and it is closely related to the theory of species. We explain this in section 4 . The second comes from plethystic substitution of power series in infinitely many variables, and it is closely related to the theory of partitionals [11], a generalization of species to partitions. We explain this in section 5. Both the theory of species and the theory of partitionals are based on sets and partitions. However, to give an interpretation of these bialgebras through simplicial groupoids, it is better to work with the equivalent category of sets and surjections, as advocated in [4] and [2]. Section 2 is a survey of the relation between partitions and surjections. The two Segal goupoids realizing the Faà di Bruno bialgebra and the plethystic bialgebra are respectively NS (section 4), the fat nerve of the category of sets and surjections, and TS (section 5), introduced in [2].

## 2. Partitions and surjections

The content on partitions featuring in this section has been taken from [11]. A partition $\pi$ of a finite set $E$ is a family of subsets of $E$, called blocks, such that every block of $\pi$ is nonempty, the blocks are pairwise disjoint, and every element of $E$ is contained in some block. Given two partitions $\pi, \sigma$ of $E$, we say that $\pi$ is finer than $\sigma$ (or $\sigma$ is coarser than $\pi$ ), and denote by $\pi \leq \sigma$, if every block of $\pi$ is a subset of some block of $\sigma$. In this case we define the induced partition $\sigma \mid \pi$ to be the partition on the set of blocks of $\pi$ given by the blocks of $\sigma$. Also, the restriction of a partition $\pi$ to a subset $B \subseteq E$ is the partition of $B$ given by the intersections of $B$ with the blocks of $\pi$ and denoted by $\pi_{B}$.
Notice that the relation $\leq$ defines a partial order on the set $\Pi(E)$ of all partitions of $E$, and this order has a minimum, given by the partition with singleton blocks and denoted by $\hat{0}$, and a maximum, given by the partition with one block and denoted by î. In particular, for every $\pi, \sigma \in \Pi(E)$, the supremum $\pi \vee \sigma$ and the infimum $\pi \wedge \sigma$ of $\pi$ and $\sigma$ exist in $(\Pi(E), \leq)$, and they are respectively called the join and the meet. For example, if $E=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}, \pi=\{\{1,2\},\{3,4,5\},\{6\}\}$ and $\sigma=\{\{1,2,6\},\{3,4\},\{5\}\}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi \wedge \sigma=\{\{1,2\},\{3,4\},\{5\},\{6\}\}, \quad \pi \vee \sigma=\{\{1,2,6\},\{3,4,5\}\} \\
\sigma \mid(\pi \vee \sigma)=\{\{1,2,6\}\},\{\{3,4\},\{5\}\}\} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{\{1,3,4,6\}}=\{\{1\},\{3,4\},\{6\}\}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is easy to see that, in fact,

$$
\pi \wedge \sigma=\{B \cap C \mid B \in \pi, C \in \sigma, B \cap C \neq \varnothing\}
$$

The join is, roughly speaking, the union of all blocks with common elements. However, to give a precise and simple definition of the join, it is preferable to view partitions as equivalence relations. This will also help us to introduce other notions later. It is clear that a partition $\pi$ on a set $E$ defines an equivalence relation $\sim_{\pi}$ on $E$, where two elements are related if they belong to the same block of $\pi$. In this setting, the meet of two partitions $\pi, \sigma$ is given by (for $p, q \in E$ ) $p \sim_{\pi \wedge \sigma} q$ if $p \sim_{\pi} q$ and $p \sim_{\sigma} q$, and their join is given by $p \sim_{\pi \vee \sigma} q$ if there exists a finite sequence $r_{0}, \ldots, r_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=r_{0} \sim_{1} r_{1} \sim_{2} \cdots \sim_{n} r_{n}=q, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each relation $\sim_{k}$ is either $\sim_{\pi}$ or $\sim_{\sigma}$. We say that two partitions $\pi, \sigma$ are independent if every block of $\pi$ meets every block of $\sigma$. We say that they commute if, for every $p, q \in E$, we have that $p \sim_{\pi} r \sim_{\sigma} q$ for some $r \in E$ if and only if $p \sim_{\sigma} s \sim_{\pi} q$ for some $s \in E$. It is straightforward to see that two partitions are independent if and only if they commute and their join is $\hat{1}$. The following result says that commuting is the same as being blockwise independent.

Proposition 1. Let $\pi, \sigma \in \Pi(E)$. Then, $\pi$ and $\sigma$ commute if and only if for every $B \in \pi \vee \sigma$ the restrictions $\pi_{B}$ and $\sigma_{B}$ are independent partitions of $B$.

We are now ready define the most intricate and important definition regarding partitions in this survey.
Definition 2 ([11]). Let $\sigma$ be a partition of $E$. A pair $(\pi, \tau)$ of partitions of $E$ is called a transversal of $\sigma$ when
(i) $\pi \leq \sigma$,
(iii) $\pi$ and $\tau$ commute, and
(ii) $\pi \wedge \tau=\hat{0}$,
(iv) $\sigma \vee \tau=\pi \vee \tau$.

There is a category $\mathbb{P}$ whose objects are pairs $(E, \pi)$, where $E$ is a set and $\pi \in \Pi(E)$, and whose morphisms are defined as follows: if $(F, \sigma)$ is another object of $\mathbb{P}$, a morphism

$$
f:(E, \pi) \longrightarrow(F, \sigma)
$$

is a bijection $f: E \rightarrow F$ which maps blocks of $\pi$ to blocks of $\sigma$. Notice that $\mathbb{P}$ is in fact a groupoid, since all the morphisms are invertible. In this groupoid, the isomorphism class of a partition $(E, \pi)$ can be described by the sequence of natural numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right), \text { where } \lambda_{k}=\text { number of blocks of size } k \text { of }(E, \pi) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $|E|=1 \cdot \lambda_{1}+2 \cdot \lambda_{2}+3 \cdot \lambda_{3}+\ldots$ and that the number of blocks of $\pi$ is $|\pi|=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\ldots$. Also, notice that the number of automorphisms of $(E, \pi)$ is

$$
\operatorname{aut}(\lambda)=1!^{\lambda_{1}} \lambda_{1}!\cdot 2!^{\lambda_{2}} \lambda_{2}!\cdot 3!^{\lambda_{3}} \lambda_{3}!\cdots,
$$

because an automorphism of $\pi$ permutes the elements inside each block and permutes the blocks of the same size.

Let us translate all these notions from the category of partitions $\mathbb{P}$ to the equivalent category of surjections $\$$. Among the advantages of surjections over partitions there is the fact that partitions of partitions are pairs of composable surjections. The category of surjections has as objects surjections $E \rightarrow S$ between finite sets and as morphisms commutative squares

where the horizontal arrows are bijections. It is clear that $f: E \rightarrow S$ corresponds to the partition $\pi$ of $E$ given by $p \sim_{\pi} q$ if $f(p)=f(q)$ or, equivalently, the partition whose blocks are the fibres of $f$. Hence, the isomorphism class of a surjection is given by a sequence $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$ where $\lambda_{k}$ is the number of fibres of size $k$, and the number of automorphisms of $f$ is also aut $(\lambda)$ : in this case, $\lambda_{1}!\cdot \lambda_{2}!\cdots$ is the number of bijections $S \xrightarrow{\sim} S$ permuting elements with a fibre of the same size, and $1!^{\lambda_{1}} \cdot 2!^{\lambda_{2}} \ldots$ is the number of fibrewise bijections $E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$.
Consider $\pi, \tau \in \Pi(E)$ and let $\pi: E \rightarrow S$ and $\tau: E \rightarrow X$ be their corresponding surjections. Construct the diagram of sets

by taking pushout along $\pi$ and $\tau$ and pullback of the pushout diagram. Note that all the arrows are surjections except perhaps $\phi$. Note also that any pullback of surjections is also a pushout square.

Lemma 3. Let $\pi$ and $\tau$ be two partitions of $E$ presented as surjections as above, and $\sigma: E \rightarrow B$ another partition. Let also $A \subseteq E$.
(i) $\pi \leq \sigma$ if and only if $\sigma$ factors through $\pi: E \rightarrow S \rightarrow B$. Moreover, the surjection $S \rightarrow B$ corresponds to $\sigma \mid \pi$.
(ii) $\pi_{A}$ corresponds to the unique surjection $A \rightarrow R$ (up to isomorphism) that factors the morphism $A \hookrightarrow E \rightarrow S$ as a surjection followed by an injection $A \rightarrow R \hookrightarrow S$.
(iii) $\hat{0}$ is $E \rightarrow E$ and $\hat{1}$ is $E \rightarrow 1$.
(iv) The join $\pi \vee \tau$ corresponds to the pushout surjection $E \rightarrow I$.
(v) The meet $\pi \wedge \tau$ corresponds to the surjection $\phi: E \rightarrow \operatorname{Im}(\phi)$. Hence, $\pi \wedge \tau=\hat{0}$ if and only if $\phi$ is injective.
(vi) $\pi$ and $\tau$ commute if and only if $\phi$ is surjective.
(vii) $\pi$ and $\tau$ are independent if and only if $\phi$ is surjective and $I=1$.

Proof. (i), (ii) and (iii) are clear. (iv) follows from the fact that the pushout is precisely $X \sqcup_{/ \sim}^{\sim} S$, where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by the relation of belonging to the same fibre along $\pi$ and $\tau$. This is precisely the same relation defined in (1).
For (v), recall that for every $p, q \in E$ we have that $p \sim_{\pi \wedge \tau} q$ if and only if $p \sim_{\pi} q$ and $p \sim_{\tau} q$, but this is the same as $\pi(p)=\pi(q)$ and $\tau(p)=\tau(q)$, which is the same as $\phi(p)=\phi(q)$. But this is equivalent to $p \sim_{\phi} q$, considering $\phi$ as a surjection to its image.
Finally, if $\pi$ and $\tau$ are independent then $\pi \wedge \tau=\hat{1}$, so that $I=1$, and every fibre along $\pi$ has nonempty intersection with every fibre along $\tau$, which means that $\phi$ is surjective. The converse is similar. This, together with proposition 1 shows (vi), since the set $\pi \vee \tau$ is precisely $I$.

In view of this lemma, a transversal of the surjection $\sigma: E \rightarrow B$ is a diagram
(3)

where the square is obtained as the pushout of $\pi$ and $\tau$. The fact that $\pi \wedge \tau=\hat{0}$ and that $\pi$ and $\tau$ commute implies that this square is also a pullback. Furthermore, the condition that the pushouts $\pi \vee \tau$ and $\sigma \vee \tau$ coincide gives a map $B \rightarrow I$. Conversely, any commutative square of the form

is a pushout in the category of surjections. Therefore, the map $B \rightarrow I$ says that $\pi \vee \tau$ coincides with $\sigma \vee \tau$. This diagramatic rendition of the notion of transversal [2] will be the key to section 5.

## 3. Incidence coalgebras and Segal groupoids

Coalgebras arise in algebraic combinatorics from the ability to decompose structures into smaller ones. Recall that a coalgebra is the dual notion of a unital associative algebra. That is, a vector space $V$ over
a field $k$ together with $k$-linear maps $\Delta: V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ and $\epsilon: V \rightarrow k$, called comultiplication and counit, respectively, satisfying

$$
\left(\mathrm{id}_{V} \otimes \Delta\right) \circ \Delta=\left(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V}\right) \circ \Delta \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\mathrm{id}_{V} \otimes \epsilon\right) \circ \Delta=\mathrm{id}_{V}=\left(\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}_{V}\right) \circ \Delta
$$

In combinatorics, these vector spaces usually have a distinguished basis given by isomorphism classes of structures.

Rota [13] showed that many of these coalgebras admit an interpretation in terms of incidence coalgebras of posets: from any locally finite poset, form the free vector space on its intervals, and endow this with a coalgebra structure by defining the comultiplication as

$$
\Delta([x, y])=\sum_{x \leq m \leq y}[x, m] \otimes[m, y] .
$$

Observe that a poset can be viewed as a category where there is at most one arrow between any two objects. The theory for locally finite posets was generalized to categories by Leroux [10], and goes as follows: a category is locally finite if every arrow admits only a finite number of 2-step factorizations. The incidence coalgebra of a locally finite category is the free vector space spanned by its arrows, with comultiplication

$$
\Delta(f)=\sum_{b \circ a=f} a \otimes b
$$

and counit $\epsilon\left(\operatorname{id}_{x}\right)=1$ and $\epsilon(f)=0$ otherwise. The coassociativity of $\Delta$ comes from the associativity of composition of arrows.
It is well appreciated in combinatorics that bijective proofs represent a deeper insight into combinatorial structures than algebraic proofs. Lawvere and Menni [9] pioneered the so-called objective method in this context, with the aim to work directly with the combinatorial structures, rather than their numbers, by using linear algebra over sets. Let Set be the category of sets. Then the objective counterpart of the vector space spanned by a set $S$ is the slice category Set $_{/ S}$ (cf. [5]). An object in this category is a morphism $A \xrightarrow{f} S$ of sets, and it corresponds to the vector whose $s$-entry (for $s \in S$ ) is $\left|f^{-1}(s)\right|$. Linear maps $\operatorname{Set}_{/ S} \rightarrow \operatorname{Set}_{/ R}$ at the objective level are given by spans $S \leftarrow M \rightarrow R$, and obtained by taking pullback and postcomposition, as in (5) below. A coalgebra in Set ${ }_{/ S}$ is thus given by a comultiplication span $S \leftarrow M \rightarrow S \times S$ and a counit span $S \leftarrow N \rightarrow 1$. However, combinatorial structures have symmetries, and to deal with them it is useful to update this objective method to groupoids and homotopy linear algebra over groupoids. A brief introduction to the homotopy approach to groupoids in combinatorics can be found in [4, §3]. We explain the basic notions next.
A groupoid is a category whose arrows are all isomorphisms. We will denote by Grpd the category of groupoids, whose objects are groupoids and whose morphisms are functors. An equivalence of groupoids is an equivalence of categories. Given a groupoid $X$, we denote by $\pi_{0}(X)$ the set of isomorphism classes of $X$, and for $x \in X$ we denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(x)$ the group of automorphisms of $x$. Concrete examples of this are the groupoids of partitions and surjections (see section 2), for instance.
The homotopy pullback of a diagram of groupoids $X \xrightarrow{f} B \stackrel{g}{\leftarrow} Y$ is the groupoid $Z$ whose objects are triples $(x, y, \phi)$ with $x \in X, y \in Y$ and $\phi: f(x) \rightarrow g(y)$ an arrow of $B$, and whose arrows are pairs $(\alpha, \beta):(x, y, \phi) \rightarrow$ $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ consisting of two arrows $\alpha: x \rightarrow x^{\prime}$ and $\beta: y \rightarrow y^{\prime}$ satisfying $g(\beta) \circ \phi=\phi^{\prime} \circ f(\alpha): f(x) \rightarrow g\left(y^{\prime}\right)$. Given a morphism of groupoids $X \xrightarrow{f} B$ and an object $b \in B$, the homotopy fibre of $b$ along $f$ is the groupoid $X_{b}$ obtained by taking the homotopy pullback of the diagram $X \xrightarrow{f} B \underset{\ulcorner b\urcorner}{\longleftarrow}$. In the rest of the section, all the pullbacks and fibres are homotopy.
A groupoid $X$ is finite if $\pi_{0}(X)$ is a finite set and $\operatorname{Aut}(x)$ is a finite group for every element $x$. If only the latter is required, then it is called locally finite. A morphism of groupoids is called finite when all its homotopy fibres are finite.

Combinatorics is partially about counting structures, and this is done by taking cardinality of sets. However, sometimes this does not directly give the desired result, because, as mentioned above, symmetries between the structures have to be taken into account. These symmetries cannot be encoded inside a set, but can be
encoded inside a groupoid. If a combinatorial structure is encoded in a groupoid rather than in a set, then we need a notion of cardinality for groupoids. The following notion is a straightforward generalization of the idea that an action of a group of $m$ elements on a set of $n$ elements has cardinality $n / m$ : the homotopy cardinality $[5, \S 3]$ of a finite groupoid $X$ is defined as

$$
|X|:=\sum_{x \in \pi_{0} X} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}(x)|} \in \mathbb{Q} .
$$

Notice that, if $X$ is a set, that is, $\operatorname{Aut}(x)=1$ for every $x \in X$, then its homotopy cardinality coincides with its cardinality. For $B$ a groupoid, the homotopy objective counterpart of the vector space $\mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{0} B}$ spanned by $\pi_{0} B$ is the slice category $\operatorname{Grpd}_{/ B}$. A finite map of groupoids $Y \xrightarrow{p} B$ corresponds to the vector

$$
|p|:=\sum_{b \in \pi_{0} B} \frac{\left|Y_{b}\right|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(b)|} \delta_{b},
$$

called the homotopy cardinality of $p$. In this sum, $Y_{b}$ is the homotopy fibre, and $\delta_{b}$ is a formal symbol representing the isomorphism class of $b$. A simple computation shows that $|1 \xrightarrow{\ulcorner b\urcorner} B|=\delta_{b}$.
The importance of factorizations of arrows in incidence coalgebras suggests a simplicial viewpoint. This leads to the generalization of Leroux theory to $\infty$-categories and decomposition spaces, developed by Gálvez-Carrillo, Kock, and Tonks [5-7]. These are a kind of simplicial spaces that express the ability to decompose. It is worth mentioning that decomposition spaces encode many more combinatorial coalgebras than merely those arising from posets or categories. In this survey, however, we will only deal with Segal spaces, a particular case of decomposition space which express the ability to compose, besides the ability to decompose.
We denote by $\Delta$ the simplex category, whose objects are finite nonempty standard ordinals

$$
[n]=\{0<1<\cdots<n\}
$$

and whose morphisms are order preserving maps between them. These maps are generated by the coface maps $\partial^{i}:[n-1] \rightarrow[n]$ which skips $i$, and the codegeneracy maps $\sigma^{i}:[n+1] \rightarrow[n]$ which repeats $i$. The obvious relations between these maps, such as $\partial^{j} \partial^{i}=\partial^{i} \partial^{j-1}$ for $i<j$, are called cosimplicial identities.
A simplicial groupoid is a (pseudo-)functor $X: \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{G r p d}$. The image of $[n]$ is denoted by $X_{n}$ and called the groupoid of $n$-simplices. The images of $\partial^{i}$ and $\sigma^{i}$ are denoted $d_{i}$ and $s_{i}$ and called face and degeneracy maps respectively. Explicitly, a simplicial groupoid is a sequence of groupoids $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ together with morphisms $d_{i}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n-1}$ and $s_{i}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$, satisfying the simplicial identities, induced by the cosimplicial identities, such as $d_{i} d_{j} \simeq d_{j-1} d_{i}$ for $i<j$. The symbol $\simeq$ means that the identities are not equalities but coherent isomorphisms. This, roughly speaking, is what the (pseudo-) above represents, but we do not have to worry about this here.

A simplicial groupoid $X$ is a Segal space [6, §2.9, Lemma 2.10] if the following square is a pullback for all $n>0$ :


Segal spaces arise prominently through the fat nerve construction: the fat nerve of a category $\mathcal{C}$ is the simplicial groupoid $X=N \mathcal{C}$ with $X_{n}=\operatorname{Fun}([n], \mathcal{C})^{\simeq}$, the groupoid of functors $[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. In this case, the pullbacks above are strict, so that all the simplices are strictly determined by $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$, respectively the objects and arrows of $\mathcal{C}$, and the inner face maps are given by composition of arrows in $\mathcal{C}$. In the general case, $X_{n}$ is determined from $X_{0}$ and $X_{1}$ only up to equivalence, but one may still think of it as a "category" object whose composition is defined only up to equivalence.

Let $X$ be a simplicial groupoid. The spans

$$
X_{1} \stackrel{d_{1}}{\longleftrightarrow} X_{2} \xrightarrow{\left(d_{2}, d_{0}\right)} X_{1} \times X_{1}, \quad X_{1} \stackrel{s_{0}}{\longleftrightarrow} X_{0} \xrightarrow{t} 1
$$

define two functors

$$
\begin{array}{rlllll}
\Delta: \boldsymbol{\operatorname { G r p d }}_{/ X_{1}} & \longrightarrow \text { Grpd }_{/ X_{1} \times X_{1}} & \epsilon: \operatorname{Grpd}_{/ X_{1}} & \longrightarrow & \text { Grpd }  \tag{5}\\
S \rightarrow X_{1} & \longmapsto & S_{3} \rightarrow X_{1} & \left.\longmapsto d_{2}, d_{0}\right)_{!} \circ d_{1}^{*}(s), & t_{!} \circ s_{0}^{*}(s) .
\end{array}
$$

Recall also that upperstar is pullback and lowershriek is postcomposition. This is the general way in which spans interpret homotopy linear algebra [5].
As mentioned above, Segal spaces are a particular case of decomposition spaces [6, Proposition 3.7], simplicial groupoids with the property that the functor $\Delta$ is coassociative with the functor $\epsilon$ as counit (up to homotopy). In this case, $\Delta$ and $\epsilon$ endow $\operatorname{Grpd}_{/ X_{1}}$ with a coalgebra structure $[6, \$ 5]$ called the incidence coalgebra of $X$. Note that, in the special case where $X$ is the nerve of a poset, this construction becomes the classical incidence coalgebra construction after taking cardinality, as we shall do shortly.
A Segal space $X$ is CULF monoidal $[6, \S 4,9]$ if it has a product $X_{n} \times X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n}$ for each $n$, compatible with the degeneracy and face maps, and such that for all $n$ the squares
(6)

where $g$ is induced by the unique endpoint-preserving map [1] $\rightarrow$ [ $n$ ], are pullbacks $[6, \S 4]$. For example, the fat nerve of a monoidal extensive category is a CULF monoidal Segal space. Recall that a monoidal extensive category is a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C},+, 0)$ for which the natural functors $\mathcal{C}_{/ A} \times \mathcal{C}_{/ B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/ A+B}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{/ 0} \rightarrow 1$ are equivalences.
If $X$ is CULF monoidal, then the resulting coalgebra is in fact a bialgebra [6,§9], with product given by

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\odot: \operatorname{Grpd}_{X_{1}} \otimes \operatorname{Grpd}_{/ X_{1}} & \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \text { Grpd }_{X_{1} \times X_{1}} & \xrightarrow{+!} & \text { Grpd }_{/ X_{1}} \\
\left(G \rightarrow X_{1}\right) \otimes\left(H \rightarrow X_{1}\right) & \longmapsto G \times H \rightarrow X_{1} \times X_{1} & \longmapsto & G \times H \rightarrow X_{1} .
\end{array}
$$

Briefly, a product in $X_{n}$ compatible with the simplicial structure endows $X$ with a product, but in order to be compatible with the coproduct it has to satisfy the diagram (6) (i.e., it has to be a CULF functor).
A Segal space $X$ is locally finite $[7, \S 7]$ if $X_{1}$ is a locally finite groupoid and both $s_{0}: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{1}$ and $d_{1}: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}$ are finite maps. In this case one can take homotopy cardinality to get a comultiplication

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\Delta: \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{0} X_{1}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{0} X_{1}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{0} X_{1}} \\
\left|S \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} X_{1}\right| & \longmapsto & \left|\left(d_{2}, d_{0}\right)_{!} \circ d_{1}^{*}(s)\right|
\end{array}
$$

and similarly for $\epsilon$ (cf. [7,§7]). Moreover, if $X$ is CULF monoidal, then $\mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{0} X_{1}}$ acquires a bialgebra structure with the product $\cdot=|\odot|$. In particular, if we denote by + the monoidal product in $X$, then $\delta_{a} \cdot \delta_{b}=\delta_{a+b}$ for any $\left|1 \xrightarrow{\ulcorner a\urcorner} X_{1}\right|$ and $\left|1 \xrightarrow{\ulcorner b\urcorner} X_{1}\right|$. The following lemma gives a formula to compute the coproduct of the isomorphism class of an element $f \in X_{1}$.

Lemma $4([2, \S 4])$. Let $X$ be a Segal space. Then, for $f$ in $X_{1}$ we have

$$
\Delta\left(\delta_{f}\right)=\sum_{b \in \pi_{0} X_{1}} \sum_{a \in \pi_{0} X_{1}} \frac{\left|\operatorname{Iso}\left(d_{0} a, d_{1} b\right)_{f}\right|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(b)||\operatorname{Aut}(a)|} \delta_{a} \otimes \delta_{b}
$$

Here $\operatorname{Iso}\left(d_{0} a, d_{1} b\right)$ refers to the set of isomorphisms from $d_{0} a$ to $d_{1} b$, and the subscript $f$ means homotopy fibre.

## 4. Species and the Faà di Bruno bialgebra

One of the starting points for objective combinatorics is the theory of species, introduced by Joyal [8] Through the notion of species, Joyal showed that manipulations with power series and generating functions can be carried out directly on the combinatorial structures themselves. A species is a functor

$$
F: \mathbb{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}
$$

from the category $\mathbb{B}$ of finite sets and bijections to itself. To each finite set $S$, the species $F$ associates another finite set $F[S]$, whose elements are called $F$-structures on the set $S$. Each bijection $S \rightarrow R$ gives a bijection $F[S] \rightarrow F[R]$. For example, there is a species $\Pi$ that sends a set $E$ to $\Pi(E)$, the set of all its partitions, and a bijection $E \xrightarrow{f} E^{\prime}$ to the obvious bijection $\Pi(E) \rightarrow \Pi\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ given by $f$. Other examples include structures of graphs, trees, linear orders, etc.

We may attach different kinds of power series to a species $F$ in order to enumerate the $F$-structures or the isomorphism classes of $F$-structures. The former are often called labelled structures, while the latter are called unlabelled structures. The exponential generating function associated to $F$ is

$$
F(x)=\sum_{n \geq 0}|F[n]| \frac{x^{n}}{n!},
$$

where $|F[n]|$ is the number of $F$-structures on a set of $n$ elements. This function is used for labelled enumeration. The type generating function associated to $F$ is

$$
\tilde{F}(x)=\sum_{n \geq 0}|\tilde{F}[n]| x^{n},
$$

where $|\tilde{F}[n]|$ is the number of unlabelled structures of $F$. For example, it is easy to see that $|\Pi[3]|=5$, while $|\tilde{\Pi}[3]|=3$, because the three partitions $\{\{1,2\},\{3\}\},\{\{1\},\{2,3\}\}$ and $\{\{1,3\},\{2\}\}$ are isomorphic.

Several operations on generating functions can be lifted to the level of species [8]. For instance, given two species $F$ and $G$, we define their sum and product by

$$
(F+G)[S]=F[S]+G[S] \quad \text { and } \quad(F \cdot G)[S]=\sum_{\substack{S_{1}+S_{2}=S \\ S_{1} \cap S_{2}=\varnothing}} F\left[S_{1}\right] \times G\left[S_{2}\right],
$$

respectively. Both operations are compatible with sum and multiplication of generating functions, so that $(F+G)(x)=F(x)+G(x),(F \cdot G)(x)=F(x) \cdot G(x)$ and similarly for the type generating functions. Nevertheless, the operation that interests us most is substitution $[8, \S 2.2]$. Suppose that $G[\varnothing]=\varnothing$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(F \circ G)[S]=\sum_{\pi \in \Pi(S)} F[\pi] \times \prod_{B \in \pi} G[B], \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F[\pi]$ interprets $\pi$ as a set. Notice that this is not the composition of $F$ and $G$ as functors. Substitution of species is compatible with the exponential generating function, but not with the type generating function. To obtain a power series for unlabelled enumeration compatible with substitution, a third kind of generating function is required. The cycle index series of a species $F[8, \S 3]$ is the formal power series (in infinitely many variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ )

$$
Z_{F}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!}\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \Im_{n}}|\operatorname{Fix}(F[\sigma])| x_{1}^{\sigma_{1}} x_{2}^{\sigma_{2}} \cdots\right),
$$

where $\mathbb{S}_{n}$ denotes the group of permutations of $[n], \sigma_{k}$ is the number of cycles of size $k$ of $\sigma$ and $\operatorname{Fix}(F[\sigma])$ is the set of $F$-structures fixed by $F[\sigma]$. For example, it is easy to see that

$$
\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{ভ}_{3}}|\operatorname{Fix}(\Pi[\sigma])| x_{1}^{\sigma_{1}} x_{2}^{\sigma_{2}} \cdots=5 x_{1}^{3}+9 x_{1} x_{2}+4 x_{3} .
$$

The cycle index series was first used by Pólya [12]. It satisfies that $Z_{F}(x, 0, \ldots)=F(x)$ and $Z_{F}\left(x, x^{2}, \ldots\right)=$ $\tilde{F}(x)$. Furthermore, it is compatible with the following notion of substitution.

Definition 5 ([12]). Given two power series, $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ and $G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$, their plethystic substitution is defined as

$$
(G \circledast F)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)=G\left(F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots\right),
$$

with $F_{k}=F\left(x_{k}, x_{2 k}, \ldots\right)$.
Returning to the definition of substitution of species (7), observe that the relevant information comes from a decomposition of $S$. This decomposition is in fact the comultiplication of the isomorphism class of the interval [ $0,1 \hat{1}]$ of partitions of $S$ in the incidence bialgebra of the poset of partitions. Indeed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta([\hat{0}, \hat{1}])=\sum_{\hat{0} \leq \pi \leq \hat{1}}[\hat{0}, \pi] \otimes[\pi, \hat{1}], \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which becomes the same as (7) after expressing partitions as the disjoint union of their blocks, and interchanging the factors of the tensor product. In fact, disjoint union gives this coalgebra a structure of bialgebra, known as the Faà di Bruno bialgebra.
In purely algebraic terms, the Faà di Bruno bialgebra $\mathcal{F}$ is the free algebra $\mathbb{Q}\left[A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots\right]$, where $A_{n}$ is the dual map $A_{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \llbracket x \rrbracket^{*}$ defined by

$$
A_{n}(f)=\frac{d^{n} f}{d x^{n}}
$$

Its comultiplication is defined to be dual to substitution of power series. That is,

$$
\Delta\left(A_{n}\right)(F, G)=A_{n}(G \circ F)
$$

The comultiplication of $A_{n}$ corresponds to the comultiplication of $[n]$ in the incidence coalgebra of partitions, and can be expressed with the Bell polynomials $B_{n, k}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots\right)$ :

$$
\Delta\left(A_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{n, k}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots\right) \otimes A_{k}
$$

The polynomial $B_{n, k}$ counts the number of partitions of a set with $n$ elements into $k$ blocks, with $A_{i}$ representing blocks of size $i$. For example,

$$
B_{6,2}=6 A_{1} A_{5}+15 A_{2} A_{4}+10 A_{3} A_{3} .
$$

The category of partitions is equivalent to the category of surjections, so that $\mathcal{F}$ can be expressed from surjections too [8, §7.4], and in fact it looks simpler. In view of (ii) of lemma 3, equation (8) corresponds to

$$
\Delta(S \rightarrow 1)=\sum_{S \rightarrow R \rightarrow 1}(S \rightarrow R) \otimes(R \rightarrow 1)
$$

The algebra structure is again given by disjoint union of sets. This sum is over isomorphism classes of factorizations $S \rightarrow R \rightarrow 1$, meaning up to an isomorphism $R \xrightarrow{\sim} R^{\prime}$ making the diagram commute. The precise statement that this comultiplication on surjections (or partitions) gives in fact the Faà di Bruno bialgebra fits very well into the theory of Segal spaces, where all the issues with isomorphism classes take care of themselves. We denote by $\mathbf{S}$ the category whose objects are finite sets and whose morphisms are surjections.

Theorem $6([4,8])$. The Faà di Bruno bialgebra $\mathcal{F}$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{Q}_{\pi_{0} \mathrm{~s}}$, the homotopy cardinality of the incidence bialgebra of the fat nerve NS : $\Delta^{o p} \rightarrow \mathbf{G r p d}$ of the category of surjections.

Proof. Notice that $\mathrm{S} \simeq(N S)_{1}$. We know that $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by the functionals $A_{n}$. Any surjection is isomorphic to the disjoint union of surjections with singleton target. Hence, $\delta_{n}=|1 \xrightarrow{\ulcorner n \rightarrow 1\urcorner} \mathbb{S}|$ corresponds to $A_{n}$. Using lemma 4 we get

$$
\Delta\left(\delta_{n}\right)=\sum_{b: k \rightarrow 1} \sum_{a: n \rightarrow k} \frac{\left|\operatorname{Iso}(k, k)_{n \rightarrow 1}\right|}{|\operatorname{Aut}(b)||\operatorname{Aut}(a)|} \delta_{a} \otimes \delta_{k}
$$

It is clear that $|\operatorname{Aut}(b)|=k!$. Now, in this case any element of $\operatorname{Iso}(k, k)$ gives $n \rightarrow 1$, so that $\left|\operatorname{Iso}(k, k)_{n \rightarrow 1}\right|=$ $n!\cdot k!$ (the $n!$ appears because we are taking homotopy cardinality). Moreover, $\delta_{a}=\delta_{n_{1}} \cdots \delta_{n_{k}}$, where $n_{i}$ are the fibres of $a: n \rightarrow k$. Altogether we obtain

$$
\Delta\left(\delta_{n}\right)=\sum_{k \rightarrow 1} \sum_{n \rightarrow k} \frac{n!}{|\operatorname{Aut}(n \rightarrow k)|} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \delta_{n_{i}} \otimes \delta_{k},
$$

which is easily checked to correspond to the comultiplication of $A_{n}$.
We would like to give an interpretation of plethystic substitution from partitions and surjections, as we have just done for substitution of ordinary power series. The monomials of ordinary power series are indexed by natural numbers, which coincide with isomorphism classes of sets. However, the monomials of power series in infinitely many variables are indexed by isomorphism classes of partitions $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right)$. This is why Nava and Rota [11] developed the notion of partitional as a generalization of species, in order to give an interpretation of plethystic substitution analogous to the species interpretation of ordinary substitution. A sequence like $\lambda$ could also represent the isomorphism class of a permutation, and in fact Bergeron [1] gave a similar interpretation but in terms of permutationals, rather than partitionals.

## 5. Partitionals and the plethystic bialgebra

A partitional [11] is a functor $M: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ from the category of partitions $\mathbb{P}$ to the category of sets and bijections $\mathbb{B}$. The image $M[E, \pi]$ of $(E, \pi)$ under $M$ is the set of $M$-structures. By functoriality, the cardinality $|M[E, \pi]|$, depends only on the isomorphism class $\lambda$ of the partition $(E, \pi)$ (see (2)), and will be denoted by $M[\lambda]$. Therefore, we can define the generating function of $M$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)=\sum_{\lambda} \frac{M[\lambda]}{\operatorname{aut}(\lambda)} x_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} x_{2}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case of species, several operations on generating functions can be lifted to the level of partitionals. The sum and the product are defined in a similar way as for species, and we will not do it here. The substitution, however, is more complex and involves the notion of transversal. Let $M$ and $R$ be two partitionals. Then, their substitution $[11, \$ 6]$ is defined as

$$
(M \circ R)[E, \sigma]:=\sum_{\substack{(\pi, \tau) \\ \text { transversal of } \sigma}} M[\tau,(\sigma \vee \tau) \mid \tau] \times \prod_{B \in \sigma \vee \tau} R\left[\pi_{B}, \sigma_{B} \mid \pi_{B}\right]
$$

Substitution of partitionals is compatible with plethystic substitution of generating functions. That is, $(M \circ R)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)=M\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right) \circledast R\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)[11, \S 6]$. Notice that, as before, this definition is also based on a decomposition of $(E, \sigma)$, which under isomorphism classes gives rise to a comultiplication in a bialgebra, the plethystic bialgebra $[2, \S 3]$. For each $\lambda$, define the functional $A_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Q} \llbracket \mathbf{x} \rrbracket^{*}$ by $A_{\lambda}(F)=F_{\lambda}$. The plethystic bialgebra $\mathcal{P}$ is the free polynomial algebra $\mathbb{Q}\left[\left\{A_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda}\right]$ along with the comultiplication dual to plethystic substitution. That is, for each $\lambda$ and $F, G \in \mathbb{Q} \llbracket \mathbf{x} \rrbracket$,

$$
\Delta\left(A_{\lambda}\right)(F, G)=A_{\lambda}(G \circledast F)
$$

The counit is given by $\epsilon\left(A_{\lambda}\right)=\left\langle A_{\lambda}, x_{1}\right\rangle$.
It is difficult to express $\mathcal{P}$ as an incidence coalgebra from partitions, but using the machinery of section 3 we can find a Segal groupoid, arising also from surjections, whose incidence bialgebra is isomorphic to the plethystic bialgebra. First of all, let us apply the results of section 2 to express definition (9) in the context of surjections:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S \quad X
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, this sum is over isomorphism classes of transversals of surjections, meaning up to isomorphisms $S \xrightarrow{\sim} S^{\prime}$ and $X \xrightarrow{\sim} X^{\prime}$ making the diagram commute. To give a precise statement about the relation between surjections and the plethystic bialgebra we introduce the simplicial groupoid $T \mathbf{S}$ [2, §2], whose $n$-simplices are pyramids like the one pictured below for $n=3$ :

where all the entries are finite sets, the arrows are surjections, and the squares are pullbacks of sets. Morphisms in $T \mathbf{S}_{n}$ are levelwise bijections making the diagram commute. The face map $d_{i}$ removes all the objects containing an $i$ index, while the degeneracy maps $s_{i}$ repeats the objects containing an $i$ index. The fact that the squares are pullbacks makes it a Segal space. For instance,
which is defined up to isomorphism. Also, disjoint union of diagrams makes it a CULF monoidal Segal space [2, §2].

Theorem $7([2, \S 4])$. The plethystic bialgebra $\mathbb{P}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_{T \mathrm{~S}_{1}}$, the homotopy cardinality of the incidence bialgebra of TS.

Proving this result would be beyond the scope of this paper. The idea is that $A_{\sigma}$ corresponds to

$$
\delta_{\sigma}=\left|1 \xrightarrow{\ulcorner\sigma\urcorner} T \mathbf{S}_{1}\right| .
$$

The homotopy fibre

is precisely the groupoid of factorizations appearing in the subindex of the comultiplication (10) and the summands come, respectively, from $d_{2}$ and $d_{0}$ of the factorizations.
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